

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,379	10/27/2003	Manchao Xiao	06377ZP USA	5297
23543 7	590 11/16/2005	EXAMINER		
	CTS AND CHEMICA	CHEN, I	CHEN, BRET P	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLENTOWN	ALLENTOWN, PA 181951501			
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,379	XIAO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	B. Chen	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	s action is non-final.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-30 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 255.394.
- II. Claims 20-30, drawn to a composition, classified in class 556, subclass 400+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as deposition with another precursor.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Geoffrey Chase on September 7, 2005, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-30 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 12, 14-16, the applicant requires the deposition of materials other than silicon nitride. Claim 1, from which claims 12, 14-16 depend from, requires the deposition of silicon nitride. Clarification and appropriate amendments are requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1762

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (6,365,231) or Dussarrat et al. (US 20050048204A1).

Sato discloses a process for forming silicon nitride film on the surface of a semiconductor substrate by vapor phase deposition (col.1 lines 11-15) by reacting a silane compound and ammonia and derivatives and/or hydrazine and derivatives, in which the halogenated silane compound and the NH₃ are preliminarily reacted with each other, and the resulting reaction gas mixture is fed after the ammonium halide produced by the preliminary reaction has been removed from the reaction gas mixture, thereby forming a silicon nitride film on the substrate (col.2 lines 1-8). A number of organic silanes are recited (col.2 lines 36-44). The processing temperature and pressure can be 500-800°C and 0.1 to 760 Torr (col.3 lines 63-65).

Dussarrat discloses a method for fabricating silicon nitride by CVD (Par 1) in which an organic silane compound is reacted with hydrazine (Par 14). Specifically, the organic silane can be Si(NHR_i)₄. The processing temperature and pressure can be 300-800°C and 0.05 to 1 atmosphere (Par 17).

However, the references fail to teach hydrazinosilane. It is noted that both references teach the use of an organic silane compound and that they are reacted with hydrazine. It would have been obvious to substitute one organic silane for another with the expectation of obtaining similar results because both reference teach the use of different organic silanes for forming silicon nitride films.

In addition, one skilled in the art would realize that the use of an organic silane compound reacted with hydrazine suggests to utilize a organic silane with hydrazine i.e.

Art Unit: 1762

hydrazinosilane. Hence, it would have been obvious to utilize a hydrazinosilane with the expectation of obtaining similar results.

The limitations of claims 2-19 have been addressed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bc 11/14/05

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER